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1. INTRODUCTION

This document is designed to give details about Adult Social Care and Public Health functions complaints procedure and has been specifically designed to assist those managers and officers who are involved in the investigation and resolution of complaints and concerns.

Although Adult Social Care and Public Health complaints are governed by separate regulations, Middlesbrough Council uses common processes and timescales for complaints that fall under either area.

Both Adult Social Care and Public Health complaints are managed by the Adult Social Care Complaints Manager, who oversees the process across both areas, liaising with Assistant Directors and Managers of relevant teams where required.

Social Care Complaints
Local Authorities must make arrangements for dealing with complaints in accordance with The Local Authority Social Services and NHS Complaints (England) Regulations 2009 (the regulations). This document outlines Middlesbrough Council’s arrangements for dealing with complaints that fall under these regulations.

A single approach for handling complaints about adult social care services and the NHS was introduced with a duty for Social Care to co-operate. The aim is for a better co-ordinated response where a complaint involved both sectors. There will then be a joint investigation and a joint response.

The approach allows each organisation to determine the mechanisms best suited for them to deliver effective complaints arrangements within their own organisations taking into account local circumstances.

The focus for complaints handling aims to ensure that all complaints are undertaken on a case-by-case basis in discussion with the complainant. As a result of this there is no prescriptive process with set timescales. Expected timescales are therefore agreed between the investigating officer and the complainant as well as an agreement about how the complaint will be investigated.

Public Health Complaints
From April 2013 responsibility for public health and some health services transferred from the NHS to Local Authorities.

Public health is about preventing disease and helping people to live healthier and longer lives by providing the information and facilities they need to do this.

The Public Health service is not responsible for providing health services to individuals for example through hospitals. Complaints concerning these services should be directed to the Clinical Commissioning Groups or to PALS (Patient Advice and Liaison Service) for hospitals or directly to the service provider.

This document sets out how Middlesbrough Council aims to deal with complaints in line with the NHS Bodies and Local Authorities (Partnership Arrangements, Care Trusts, Public Health and Local Healthwatch) Regulations 2012 (the Regulations), which came into effect in April 2013
The focus for all complaints handling aims to ensure that all complaints are undertaken on a case-by-case basis in discussion with the complainant. As a result of this there is no prescriptive process with set timescales. Expected timescales are therefore agreed between the investigating officer and the complainant as well as an agreement about how the complaint will be investigated.

Whilst both Social Care and Public Health the regulations allow a maximum of six months to respond to a complaint we will endeavour to send you a response to your complaint as soon as practicable.

**Our Aim**

We aim to deal with complaints from members of the public, service users and carers in a fair and consistent way in line with current legislation.

The process is based on the principles of good complaints handling published by the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman and endorsed by the Local Government Ombudsman. The principles are

- Getting it right
- Being customer focused
- Being open and accountable
- Acting fairly and appropriately
- Putting things right
- Seeking continuous improvement

**Complaints about children’s services**

Separate guidance is available on handling these complaints. This guidance relates to the Children Act 1989, The Children Action 1989 Representations Procedures (England) Regulations 2006 and ‘Getting the best from Complaints’ guidance issued by the Department for Children, Schools and Families on social care complaints and representations for children/young people and others.
2. LEARNING FROM COMPLAINTS & MONITORING OUTCOMES

Regulations emphasise the importance of learning from complaints and actively using this information to improve service provision.

‘Making Experiences Count’ highlights the role complaints have in assisting organisations to identify:

- Potential service problems.
- Risks (and prevent them from getting worse).
- Opportunities for staff improvement.
- Information for the reviewing of services and procedures.

Learning from complaints provides opportunities for services to be shaped by people’s experiences. These can often be people who are traditionally considered ‘hard to reach’ and who may be less successful at navigating complex public services. When developing a policy or service model, it is almost impossible to anticipate all potential variables of implementation and impact. This is why complaints should be viewed as a strategic resource providing rich and diverse perspectives. They can illustrate how well goals and standards are being achieved from the point of view of the needs and aspirations of citizens and on any unintended consequences of the way policies are implemented or decisions are made.

Complaints can be a rich source of citizen insight. Complaints are unsolicited and should be valued as illustrating what a ‘customer journey’ may be like for the citizen in practice. Complaints can help councils identify risks and weaknesses across services that should inform future planning and commissioning decisions.

All complaint representations received must be recorded and the outcomes reported to the Adult Social Care Complaints Manager, this includes those that are not subject to a full investigation. For day-to-day resolution, copies of the outcome should be sent to the Complaints Administrator upon resolution. This approach will supplement the more in depth recording of complaints handled under the regulations and allow for learning around the nature and range of complaints made in service areas.

A detailed record of all complaints, handled in line with these procedures will be maintained by the Complaints Manager in order to monitor that actions taken are in line with these procedures and within any relevant Departmental timescales.

Each resolved complaint should identify the issues raised and if actions are required to resolve the complaint. Such actions should be identified within an action plan, the implementation of which can then be monitored by the Complaints Manager. The Complaints Manager will perform an audit of ‘open’ complaint action plans at the end of every quarter.

The Complaints Administrator will send follow-up reminders to the Head of Service with responsibility for the implementation of an action plan following a complaint. An update report on progress on every ‘open’ action plan will be requested by the Complaints Administrator at the end of every quarter.
The Complaints Manager will also analyse the range of complaint information received to highlight any common themes or cross service issues. This will allow for an overview of complaint issues and will be reported to the respective Senior Management Teams for their consideration on a quarterly and annual basis.

Information on complaints performance, learning and action points should be used by teams to monitor the quality of service user experience.

It may be appropriate on occasion for a specific group i.e. the Carers Partnership Board to monitor the progress of a particular complaints action plan.
3. DEALING WITH COMPLAINTS

What is a complaint?
A complaint may be generally defined as ‘an expression of dissatisfaction or disquiet about the actions, decisions or apparent failings of a local authority’s adult social services provision that requires a response’. The intention is for complaints not to be too rigid in their definition.

Who Can Complain?
Under regulation 5 (Social Care)/ regulation 22 (Public Health), a person (or their representative) who receives or has received services and a person affected or likely to be affected by the action, omission or decision of the Local Authority Social Care or Public Health functions can complain.

A complaint may be made by a representative acting on behalf of a person who, themselves, has a right to complain where this person:-

- Has died
- Is unable to make the complaint themselves because of physical incapacity; or lack of capacity within the meaning of the Mental Capacity Act 2005 or
- Has requested the representative to act on their behalf. (Proof must be provided in this instance)

If a complaint is made on behalf of someone who is deemed to ‘not have capacity’ as defined by the Mental Capacity Act, the complaint will only be considered if the person submitting the complaint is acting in that person’s best interest.

Consent
All Staff who have access to information about individual Users have a duty of confidence. The individual’s right to confidentiality must be respected. Personal information must be treated with care and this means not disclosing it to people who do not need to know. In normal circumstances the consent of the service user will always be required for the disclosure of information to third parties when investigating complaints. A form is available from the Complaints Administrator. Users and those providing the information must be satisfied that information supplied for complaints investigation purposes will not normally be disclosed without their permission.

What can be complained about?
A complaint can be made about anything that is connected with the local authority exercising its social service or Public Health functions. This could be:

- Quality or amount of service.
- Charges for services.
- Failure to follow correct procedures.
- Delay in service provision.
- A service not being provided.
- Application of eligibility criteria.
- Assessments, review, care plan outcomes.
- Attitude or behaviour of staff.
- The impact for an individual of the application of a local authority policy.

Decisions made by Approved Mental Health Professionals
A decision made by an Approved Mental Health Professional (AMHP) on an application under the Mental Health Act 1983 falls outside these procedures. Complaints about the process of assessment or the AMHPs actions can be considered under these procedures.
Mental Capacity Act
Under the Mental Capacity Act health or Social Care professionals can assess a person as lacking capacity and make decisions or carry out actions on behalf of that person. When such a decision has been made it cannot challenge it using this procedure. Guidance on how to challenge decisions made under the Mental Capacity Act is included in the Mental Capacity Act Code of Practice, which is available from the Department of Health.

If it is evident there has been a fault in the decision-making process it may be appropriate to invoke the complaints procedure. Although a best interest decision cannot be overturned through the complaint procedure, if it is found that there was a fault in the decision-making process a re-assessment may be carried out.

Where someone lacks capacity an Independent Mental Capacity Advocate (IMCA) may be involved in the decision-making process.

Certain issues raised that should be dealt with by other procedures will not be investigated as a complaint under these procedures e.g.

- Disciplinary or grievance proceedings.
- Criminal investigations.
- Where a statutory appeals process is in place.
- The complainant intends to take legal proceedings in relation to the substance of the complaint.
- Children & Young People’s social care complaints.
- Corporate complaints
- Complaints arising out of an alleged failure to comply with a data subject request under the Data Protection Act 1998.

Complaints arising out of an alleged failure to comply with a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Direct Payments
Personal Budgets are not explicitly part of the current arrangements, although complaints to a local authority are covered when they are about the process of allocating a Direct Payment or Individual Budget; about services that are provided directly by the local authority; or when the local authority manages the budget on behalf of the service user. The point of the exclusion is that the authority hands over the money to the service user, and so decisions made by the service user are outside the procedure. This is not an exhaustive list.
4. RESPONDING TO COMPLAINTS

Dealing with complaints is the responsibility of every member of staff. All complaints or concerns should be treated as being serious and dealt with appropriately. All staff should allow people to express their views, listen to them and use problem solving as a route to achieving satisfaction for the Complainant.

The Council’s Chief Executive is the responsible person for ensuring that the Council complies with the arrangements made under relevant regulations and for ensuring that action is taken, if necessary, in the light of the outcome of the complaint. The Council has authorised the Assistant Director, Adult Social Care / Assistant Director, Improving Public Health (Director of Public Health) to act on behalf of the Chief Executive to carry out the responsible person’s functions under the Regulations.

The function is then in turn, delegated to the Complaints Manager who works with relevant Heads of Service to appoint an Investigating Officer to act on their behalf.

Regulations on complaints identify ‘if a complaint is made orally and resolution can be agreed with the client by the end of the next working day’ it does not fall within the regulations and therefore it can be viewed as day-to-day business. Adult Social Care and Public Health, however, considers that such representations should be included within recording for a service area. Details of such representations managed within service areas should be forwarded to the Complaints Administrator, this information will assist in the overall departmental learning from complaints.

However, if a complaint cannot be resolved by the end of the next working day, or the complainant remains dissatisfied with the immediate response, the complaint must be brought directly to the attention of the Complaints Manager. The representation will then be handled in line with the Regulation requirements and classified as a complaint.
5. THE PROCEDURE FOR ASSESSING A COMPLAINT

A complaint is taken as having been made on the date on which it is first received by the local authority. The Complaints Administrator will acknowledge the complaint within **three working days** and liaise with the appropriate Head of Service who will act as the Adjudicating Officer. The Adjudicating Officer has the overall responsibility for addressing complaints made about their service area.

The Adjudicating Officer, in discussion with the Complaints Manager, will assess the seriousness and complexity of the complaint, consider any risks, service improvements and, if appropriate, any disciplinary action. They will decide who will investigate the complaint; give an indicative timescale for when the complaint should be dealt with by and how it should be progressed. The Investigating Officer is then given the responsibility of considering the complaint, collating all relevant information, speaking to appropriate people involved and collating all the information into a report.

Complaints are categorised as Low, Medium or High. This will help to determine how the complaint will be dealt with and how long is given for the complaint to be investigated. This will make sure there is a consistency of approach to all complaints and that they are dealt with appropriately. The factors that should be taken into account when assessing a complaint are

- The seriousness of the issues raised
- How likely the issues are to recur
- The risk to the service user
- The risk to the Council
- The Complainant’s views

In some cases there may be serious issues that are raised that could cause long-lasting damage such as grossly substandard care, professional misconduct or death. In such cases these complaints will require immediate and in-depth investigation and would in most instances involve other procedures such as adult protection.

When a complaint is received, the Complaints Manager and Adjudicating Officer will make an initial assessment of how long it should take to deal with the complaint. The timescale can range from **20 working days** to **six months** from the receipt of the complaint. These timescales are taken from the Local Authority Social Services Complaints (England) Regulations 2006. We use the timescales internally for monitoring purposes. Complaints regarding Public Health Functions also have a limit of **six months**, which is defined by the NHS Bodies and Local Authorities (Partnership Arrangements, Care Trusts, Public Health and Local Healthwatch) Regulations 2012 (the Regulations).

Although Adult Social Care and Public Health complaints are governed by separate regulations, Middlesbrough Council uses common processes and timescales for complaints that fall under either area.
The Adjudicating Officer assigns an investigating officer to oversee the complaint. They are tasked with developing a ‘plan’ that has to be agreed with the person making the complaint and the Adjudicating Officer. Each plan will be different and depend of the assessed risk. The plan should include:

- The details of the complaint and its constituent parts
- An assessment of risk
- The complainant’s desired outcomes
- When the investigation should be completed and who will carry out the investigation/who will be involved
- Who will provide the Complainant with support through the process if necessary
- How and when the Complainant will be contacted to tell them what is happening
- Any immediate action that staff can take to try and resolve the complaint such as a re-assessment, putting in place a service, an apology etc.

The Investigating Officer will be given copies of the complaint, the level of risk and timescale assigned by the Adjudicating Officer and the report format.

Depending on the seriousness of the complaint, it may not be necessary to draw up a plan.

**Timescales**

Timescales for completion of a complaint are determined by the Adjudicating Officer and discussed with the Complainant by the Investigating Officer. Timescales are determined by the seriousness of the issue and how critical the issues are that are raised.

Where possible this timescale should be adhered to however there are circumstances where it may be necessary to extend the deadline, due to the complainant going away or the need to wait for detailed information etc. If this is the case then any changes to the deadline should be discussed and then agreed with complainant. The Complaints Administrator should be notified of the revised target date to ensure that complaints records are kept up to date.

Complaints are generally given the following timescales:

- **20 working days** – where the complaint can be resolved promptly because the issues are straightforward and may just take a couple of phone calls to put right
- **Up to 65 working days** – where the issue is more complex and will involve a detailed investigation
- **Six months** – where a complete investigation is needed and information may need to be drawn from many sources

The timescale for the complaint begins from the day when the complaint was received by the Department to the date the adjudication letter is sent by the Adjudicating Officer. It is, therefore, important to build in a minimum of **10 working days** from the end of the investigation to the target completion date to allow the complaint to be passed to the Adjudicating Officer for them to construct their response.

**Low Level Complaints**

The Investigating Officer must check whether the Complainant needs support to help them to understand the process such as interpretation (sign or languages other than English), provision of information in another format such as Braille, large print, or support from an independent advocate.
If a complaint is made on behalf of someone else, the Investigating Officer needs to check that the person concerned agrees to the complaint being made (unless for reasons of age, health, death capacity etc. this is not possible). They should also clarify where the response should be sent.

The Investigating Officer must discuss the complaint with the Complainant and/or their representatives as soon as possible to clarify the complaint and ensure that the Department has an understanding of the complaint’s constituent parts, the desired outcome and timescales as a minimum. This will form the basis of the Complaint Plan.

On completion of the investigation the Investigating Officer should prepare a report. The report should address each point raised by the Complainant and state whether they were resolved, part resolved or not resolved. This will be determined as a result of the discussions with the complainant and any other staff involved. The Investigating Officer should also state whether each element of the complaint is upheld, part upheld or not upheld. The report should also include

a. Reasons and evidence to support the decision
b. Identify changes in practice or procedure that are recommended as a result of the inquiry
c. Explain that further investigation can be undertaken if the person is not satisfied

The report must be discussed and agreed with the Adjudicating Officer before it is sent to the Complainant/or person acting on their behalf. The Adjudicating Officer will decide on any required actions in line with any recommendations identified in the report in discussion with the Investigating Officer and appropriate staff.

All correspondence, including hand written notes, emails etc should be forwarded to the Complaints Administrator. The complaints files are kept centrally by the Complaints Manager so copies should be destroyed.

Where the matter is not resolved, or the Complainant is dissatisfied with the response, the Adjudicating Officer will make a decision on what needs to happen next.

Medium and High Level Complaints
Where a complaint is assessed as a medium or high level then the Adjudicating Officer will arrange for the investigation to take place without delay and appoint an appropriate person to investigate. Again a Complaint Plan should be drawn up. Complaints assessed at a high level are the most complex and require a greater degree of formality and independence to address and resolve. A detailed Complaint Plan must be drawn up and agreed with the Complainant and discussed with the Adjudicating Officer at the first interview.

A senior member of staff who is not in direct line management of the area of service and does not have a conflict of interest will be appointed to carry out the investigation. In some circumstances it will be necessary to involve someone who is independent of the Social Care/ Improving Public Health Department. The Adjudicating Officer will make this decision.

In all circumstances the Adjudicating Officer must be kept involved and consulted during every stage of the complaint investigation. The Adjudicating Officer is responsible for responding to the Complainant at the end of the investigation and drawing up an action plan to address the issues raised.
Adjudication

Adjudication is the responsibility of the Adjudicating Officer. The purpose of adjudication is for the department to consider the report or reports and identify:

- Its response
- Its decision on each point of complaint
- Any action to be taken, with timescales for their implementation

The Investigating Officer must send a draft copy of the report to the Adjudicating Officer and meet him/her to clarify aspects of the report and to discuss the findings, conclusions and recommendations. It is important to build in **10 working days** before the Target Completion Date to enable the Adjudicating Officer to complete their final response.

The Adjudicating Officer will then decide how Social Care will deal with the findings, conclusions and recommendations in the Investigating Officers report.

The response to the report needs to be signed by the responsible person i.e. the Chief Executive or the person authorised to exercise those functions namely the Assistant Director, Social Care or Assistant Director, Improving Public Health (Director of Public Health). The Assistant Director may then delegate this duty to the relevant Adjudicating Officer. The response will contain:

- A copy of the investigation report unless there is a valid reason not to do so;
- Any report from the Independent Person;
- Actions that will be taken by the department or which have already been taken and
- The right to refer the complaint to the Local Government Ombudsman if they are not satisfied with the outcomes.

The Adjudicating Officer will make recommendations to the relevant Service Manager(s) or Registered Person (in the case of contracted services). A copy of the final report and adjudication letter should be sent to the Investigating Officer, the Team Manager and the Complaints Manager. All of the complaint paperwork will be returned to the Complaints Administrator.

The Adjudicating Officer will monitor the implementation of any recommended actions in liaison with the Complaints Manager. These actions will be shared with the relevant Team Manager and the Investigating Officer.

Support for staff involved in a complaint

Separate guidance is available on the Performance and Planning Tile or from the Complaints Manager.

Outstanding Complaints

If a response is not sent to the complainant within 6 months of receipt of the complaint, or such longer period if agreed, the council has to write to the complainant giving an explanation and send a response as soon as reasonably practicable after the 6 months.

The Complaints Manager will present quarterly reports to TMT particularly in respect of outstanding actions.
Complaints About Contracted Services
In the case of contracted services, the Registered Person should feedback to the Contracts Unit when the recommended actions have been completed. In turn, the Contracts Unit should inform the Adjudicating Officer and Complaints Manager of any outcomes.

Data Protection
In line with Caldicott guidance on data protection, originals of all paperwork should be kept in the complaints file and any additional copies should be destroyed. This should include all written note, e-mails, reports, interviews, etc. The Investigating Officer must not retain any information. The Complaints Manager will keep the file centrally once the investigation is complete.

Recording of Complaints on IAS
A note will be put on the individual’s LAS record in the ‘Contacts’ field indicating that a complaint has been made, the date and complaints team workers contact details
6. GENERAL COMPLAINTS ISSUES

Local Government Ombudsman
The complainant can refer their complaint to the Local Government Ombudsman once they have received the adjudication. However, if the Complainant is dissatisfied with the response to their complaint they will be offered a discussion with the Adjudicating Officer and/or the Investigating Officer and Complaints Manager. They will look at the reasons for the dissatisfaction and whether the investigation adequately answered the issues.

If it is felt that after this consideration there are grounds to revisit some or all elements of the complaint then this will be agreed with the Complainant. If it is decided that there are not grounds then the Complainant it will be reiterated that the complainant can refer their complaint to the Local Government Ombudsman.

Relationship With Other Procedures
It is important that the relationships between procedures are clear and that there content is consistent. Procedures may need to link with the NHS and other agencies contributing to services. For example, NHS staff may become involved in residential homes and Protection of Vulnerable Adults work. Other agencies that may be involved in care services include, housing authorities, voluntary and private domiciliary organisations, the Probation Service and the Police.

It is essential that local authorities separate out complaints appropriate to other procedures and cases where some joint action is required. The Complaints Manager will provide advice to staff until any concurrent investigations are resolved. A joint protocol has been developed to ensure the effective handing of joint complaints.

Complaints relating to staff behaviour or attitudes
Where complaints relating to the behaviour or attitudes of staff are received they should be treated as a complaint about the quality of service provided, and proceeded with in the usual way of dealing with service complaints. Within the time limits set out the exact nature of the complaint should be established and attempts should be made to resolve the matter with the Complainant.

If immediate resolution is not possible, the Investigating Officer needs to speak to the member of staff concerned to hear their account of the alleged behaviour. If there were witnesses to any incident, the Investigating Officer should speak to as many of these people as is considered necessary.

During the complaint handling process it might become apparent that the alleged behaviour or attitude of a member of staff is so serious that it might amount to misconduct. Consideration must then be given as to whether the disciplinary procedures might need to be implemented. At this point, a senior officer (Adjudicating Officer or the equivalent) and the Complaints Manager must be consulted.

Decisions on how to proceed will have to be based on individual cases. It will be important to ensure that the complaints procedures are kept separate from disciplinary procedures.
Complaints relating to the behaviour or attitudes of a member of staff, and a service complaint

In those cases where the complaint relates to both a service matter, for example about the level, quality or refusal of a service, and the behaviour or attitude of a member of staff, and it is felt that disciplinary proceedings might need to be implemented, then an early decision will be required as to whether both procedures should run concurrently. This decision should be made by the relevant Adjudicating Officer and the Complaints Manager.

In any case where proceeding with the complaint investigation might compromise a concurrent disciplinary investigation, then the complaint investigation should not commence, or should be suspended.

Complaints dealt with under disciplinary procedures

If a complaint is received and it is clearly a disciplinary matter and not a complaint about a service the response to the Complainant should indicate that:

- The issues that have been raised are not appropriate to be dealt with through the complaints procedure;
- They will be dealt with through other internal procedures but the response does not need to mention disciplinary action;
- If there is any injustice arising from the disciplinary procedures, the Complainant can request a complaints investigation. (Complainants do not need to be given the details of the disciplinary procedure).

In those cases where the complaint investigation was suspended the Complainant must be written to, following the conclusion of the disciplinary investigation, and asked if they want to continue with those aspects of the complaint that were suspended pending the outcome of the disciplinary investigation. If the Complainant wants to proceed they must request this within one year of the conclusion of the disciplinary investigation. The Complainant must be advised of this time limit.

Racist Incidents

Where the complaint relating to the behaviour or attitudes of a member of staff includes a racist incident the member of staff should proceed as above and receive the complaint and process as normal. Be aware of the sensitivity of such complaints. If the complaint relates to a relatively minor matter (such as a minor failure to recognise a cultural tradition or norm), try to establish whether this was as a result of ignorance or malice.

A Racist Incident Reporting Form must be completed, and a copy kept on file. These can be found on the Corporate Complaints Tile.

There is also a quarterly return form which records how many racist incidents have been reported to each service area and how many of these have resulted in further action. This is completed centrally and returned even if there are no reported incidents.

Child and Adult Protection

Sometimes it is evident from the outset that a complaint is highlighting safeguarding issues that are best addressed within the Adult Protection procedure. In such cases, the complaint will not be registered as such and will instead, become subject to the adult protection process. The Complaints Manager will write to the complainant explaining that the issues have been referred into Adult Protection and that they will be informed of the outcomes. A copy of the Adult Protection Procedure should be enclosed for information.
The Complainant will be informed that, if they are dissatisfied with the outcome of the Adult Protection Investigation, they have further redress to the complaints process.

If the original complaint consists of a number of elements and only some of these are considered appropriate for immediate referral to Adult protection then the remaining elements should be investigated in the usual manner. In such instances the Complaints Manager will write to the complainant informing them of the course of action to be adopted clearly outlining:

- The elements of the complaint that have been referred to the Adult Protection process and
- The elements of the complaint that will be addressed via the Complaints process

If it becomes evident during a complaint investigation that there are safeguarding issues, an immediate outcome of the investigation will be recorded as the case being referred to adult protection and the Complaint investigation will cease. The complainant will be informed of the outcome of their complaint in the usual manner.

Where a referral to the Safeguarding Unit is made and the issues are more appropriate to be dealt with under complaints legislation, the Complaints Manager should be contacted. The Adult Protection Coordinator and Complaints Manager will decide the course of action and the Complainant will be informed of the outcome. Where it is decided that the referral is more appropriate to be dealt with via the Complains procedure then the usual process will be initiated.

**Criminal Issues**

Should it become apparent to the Investigating Officer during the course of a complaint investigation that there is reason to suspect criminal activity this should be reported immediately to the Adjudicating Officer. This will normally include discussing the matter internally with Human Resources, Legal Services and Internal Audit and, where appropriate reporting such matters to authorities such as the Audit Commission or the Police. Under corporate procedures, it is not necessary to delay disciplinary action pending the outcome of any criminal procedure.

**Joint Health and Social Care Complaints**

There is a duty to co-operate with health colleagues when handling complaints where there are elements relating to both organisations.

A Joint Protocol has been developed with Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council, South Tees NHS Foundation Trust, Tees, Esk & Wear Valleys NHS Trust and South Tees Clinical Commissioning Group. The Protocol sets out how all agencies will work together to coordinate responses to complaints that effect more than one agency. The Complaints Manager will coordinate any joint complaints and provide a copy of the protocol when necessary.

**Complaints Involving Regulated Services**

Where local authorities receive a complaint that is about services provided under the Care Homes Regulations 2001, the Complaints Manager will, within five working days, ask the Complainant if he or she wishes details of the complaint to be sent to the registered person. If the Complainant agrees, the Complaints Manager will send the complaint to the registered person as soon as reasonably practicable. Details of the relevant parts of the complaint will
also be sent to the care management team and the contract monitoring team within social care. The Complaints Manager will confirm any issues of safeguarding and potential vulnerability of the service user with the service user before releasing the complaint to the relevant care service provider.

Where the complaint consists of elements relating to both social services functions and services provided under the Care Standards Act 2000, the local authority will co-operate with the provider to ensure that the Complainant receives a coordinated response dealing with all aspects of the complaint. The Complaints Manager will, within 10 working days, ask the Complainant if he or she wishes details of the Care Standards element of the complaint to be sent to the registered person. If the Complainant agrees, the Complaints Manager will send the Care Standards element of the complaint to the registered person as soon as reasonably practicable. The Complaints Manager will inform the Complainant when this has been done and also explain which element of the complaint the local authority will consider.

Boundary issues also arise with regard to other regulated services for instance where a Middlesbrough resident is placed in a home in another local authority area. The Complaints Manager will apply the above guidance in a similar manner with regard to these services.

**Direct Payments & Self Funded Services**

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) can investigate complaints from people who arrange their own care and self fund. This means that adults who arrange and pay for their own care, or have a personalised budget, have the same access to the independent complaints service as those people who have had their care arranged and funded by local authorities. The Health Act 2009 amended the Local Government Act 1974 to give the LGO service its new powers from 1 October 2010.

The LGO investigates complaints about services that are registered under the new Care Quality Commission essential standards that also came into force on 1 October 2010.

**Customers with Personal Budgets**

It is important to understand the difference between a complaint about the process used for a personal budget and dealing with problems a service user or informal carer may have with an employee or service provider that they pay using their personal budget.

Complaints, which are about direct payments and individual budgets, are excluded from the procedures, once the service user has taken control of their care provision. If there are problems around the employment of a Carer that a service user or informal carer pay for using their personal budget, this is not something the statutory complaints procedure can be used for.

If problems occur regarding a Provider that a service user or informal carer use and pay for using their personal budget, then the complaint needs to go direct to the Provider involved. They will have their own complaints procedure in place for the Customer to request.

However, if a complaint relates to the process undertaken by the Department in assessing a persons needs and the care management process or the way in which the payments are managed by the finance team then this can be investigated and responded to under the complaints procedure.
Complaints relating to regulatory standards—Care Quality Commission (CQC)
Where appropriate, the CQC can use their powers of inspection to undertake enquiries to enable them to make a judgement as to whether a provider is complying with the Government’s standards of quality and safety. However, they have no statutory powers to investigate complaints.

Their guidance has 4 main points:
- The responsibility for handling concerns and complaints about services rests with the provider
- The commission will consider the fitness of the provider’s own procedures for handling concerns and complaints
- Other procedures may provide a better route for resolving particular kinds of complaint (e.g. the funding authority’s complaints procedure)
- Safeguarding issues are the responsibility of local authority co-ordinated protection services.

Unreasonably Persistent Complainants and Vexatious Complaints
Whilst the Council tries to respond to the needs of all Complainants there are times when there is nothing further that can reasonably be done to assist or to rectify a real or perceived problem. Because of the frequency of their contact with the local authority, or the irresolvable and persistent nature of their complaints, a small number of Complainants will need to be considered under the Unreasonably Persistent Complaints and Vexatious Complaints Policy.

Before implementing any of the provisions of this policy the Social Care Complaints Manager will liaise with the Corporate Complaints Manager to consider whether the Council has correctly followed its procedures in relation to complaints (or the provision of information), whether full and reasonable responses have already been given, and whether the complaint (or request for information) is now inappropriately persistent. This policy will only be pursued if appropriate. A copy of the Policy is available from the Complaints Managers or can be accessed from the Corporate Complaints Tile on Lotus Notes.

Compliments and Comments
If a compliment need to be sent to the Complaints Administrator.

The compliment will then be processed and the person complimented will receive a letter from the Executive Director of Wellbeing, Care and Learning. Their manager will also place a copy of the letter on the complimented person’s personnel file

Comments may take the form of observations about a service or absence of a service, or might be in the form of suggestions. Comments should be forwarded to the Complaints Manager who will report any issues to appropriate Heads of Service. If appropriate, an acknowledgement letter will be sent to the person making the comment outlining any actions as a result of their comments.

It is not necessary to respond to comments or suggestions that are trivial, abusive or meaningless, or are clearly designed to waste officer time.

Questions
If you have any questions, these can be directed to the Complaints Manager on 729247 or by ringing the complaints line direct on 728307
7. COMPLAINTS HANDLING FLOWCHART

Complaint received complaint? → Is it a multi agency?

Initial discussion with complainant Can the problem be resolved immediately or within a day?

No → Is the complaint about a commissioned service?

Yes → Passed to Complaints Administrator for acknowledgement within 3 days

No → Passed to Adjudicating Officer (AO) who assesses seriousness & considers if other procedures need implementing & decides on indicative timescales

Complainant Satisfied?

Yes → Investigation Officer appointed (IO)

No → Passed to provider for investigation

Complainant Satisfied?

Yes → End

No → Complaints plan developed (AO & IO)

Plan agreed with the complainant & Investigation starts

Complaint report passed to AO at least 10 days before agreed completion date

Report, Adjudication, Action Plan & OMB information investigation sent to complainant

Complaints Manager sends Action Plan to relevant Service Manager/Registered Person

All documentation returned to Complaints Administrator

No → Ombudsman’s Office

Yes → Complainant satisfied?
8. SAFEGUARDING OR COMPLAINT FLOWCHART

Representation Made

Does information contain Safeguarding issues?

Yes
Follow Safeguarding Procedures

No
Treat as Day to day business

Does information contain complaints issues?

Yes
Are Complaints issue separate from Safeguarding issues?

Yes
Follow Complaints Procedure alongside Safeguarding Procedure

No
Suspend Complaints Procedure until Safeguarding Investigation complete

No
Continue Safeguarding Procedure only

No

* Please note that the existence of a safeguarding investigation does not necessarily mean the complaint procedure should be suspended. This should only happen where there is an indication that investigation of the complaint may compromise the safeguarding investigation. The Complaints Manager and Adults Safeguarding Manager will discuss this.
9. CARRYING OUT AN INVESTIGATION

An Investigating Officer is instructed by the Adjudicating Officer to carry out the investigation and is given relevant details by the Complaints Administrator. The Investigating Officer must keep a detailed file including all correspondence in chronological order. The Complaint Administrator will provide this file along with guidance and checklists. The file is the Investigating Officer’s responsibility and must keep detailed records of all correspondence and evidence gathered.

The Investigating Officer is responsible for keeping the Adjudicating Officer informed of any developments or delays in the investigation. The file will be required by the Ombudsman should the matter be referred to the Ombudsman in future.

The Investigating Officer also needs to check whether the Complainant needs any additional support (advocate, translator etc.)

Checklist for Investigating Officers
Investigating Offices should ensure they comply with the following procedures:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td>If a complaint is received that is made on behalf of someone else the investigating officer should check if that person has given consent for the complaint to be made on his or her behalf. (unless for reasons or age, health, death, capacity etc. this is not possible). Template letters are available for this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td>Check whether the Complainant needs support to enable them to understand the process – e.g. interpretation, alternative formats such as CD or Braille, support from an advocate (following discussion with Adjudicating Officer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td>Adopt a problem solving approach to the complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td>Agree the details of the complaint with the Complainant no later than within <strong>five working days</strong> of receipt of the complaint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td>During the meeting with the Complainant – inform them of the nature of your relationship, clarify your duty to carry out an impartial and inform them of the complaints process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td>The Investigating Officer must agree the details of each element of the complaint and the desired outcome for the Complainant, along with an agreement of the timescales for the completion of the investigation. If it becomes apparent that the investigation cannot be completed in the timescale set by the Adjudicating Officers then the Investigation Officer must contact the Complainant to discuss this with them and apologise for the delay. Heads of Service/Complaints Manager must be kept informed of any delays in agreed timescales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td>If a complaint relates to a proposed action by Social Care or Public Health, the Investigating Officer should, in discussion with the Adjudicating Officer, check whether the action can/should be deferred pending the investigation of the complaint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td>Following the initial meeting, the Investigating Officer must discuss the outcome of the meeting with the Adjudicating Officer to clarify and agree the details of the Complaint Plan, which must then be sent to the Complainant for their agreement and signature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Where one or more agencies are involved in considering a complaint, these bodies must co-operate to provide a co-ordinated response as defined in legislation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>The Investigating Officer should contact anyone else who could contribute relevant information such as staff, carers and other services users and take statements from them. Those interviewed should be told that they can have friend/advocate or colleague with him or her during the interview.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Contact the Adjudicating Officer with details of any staff who are implicated in a complaint before they are interviewed. They are entitled to have a colleague, manager or union representative present and they can be issued guidance about the process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Make notes of each interview, checking key elements with the interviewee during the interview. Send two copies of the statement to the interviewee and ask them to confirm factual accuracy. This includes any staff interviewed. This should be returned in <strong>five working days</strong>. They must be informed that if notes are not received within the timescale, it will be assumed that they accept the interview notes as a true record and that the Investigating Officer will draw assumptions based on the unsigned statement. A copy should be sent to the Adjudicating Officer. <strong>(NB Tape recordings of the interviews can be made with the permission of all those people involved in the meeting. This can be done to ensure full notes are made of each meeting and assist the investigator with their note taking)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>If the interviewee has any additional information they want considering this should be sent with the signed interview notes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>The Investigating Officer should prepare a report on the standard template provided by the Complaints Administrator,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The report should include</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- All relevant information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Whether each element of the complaint is upheld or not upheld, with clear evidence to back up the decision distinguishing between fact, feelings and opinions and avoiding subjectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Details of findings, conclusions and recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Plain English, avoiding the use of jargon as the report will be shared with the complainant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Suggested action points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>If operational concerns, not directly relevant to the complaint, have become apparent during the course of the investigation they must be documented separately from the investigation report and referred to the appropriate senior manager.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>The report should be submitted the Adjudicating Officer to enable them to complete their adjudication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>A copy of the report/adjudication letter will be sent to the complainant, the Investigating Officer, the Team Manager and the Complaints Manager.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>The Adjudicating Officer and Investigating Officer will agree and prepare an action plan which will be monitored by the Complaints Manager.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

Complainant
The Council expects complainants to assist in ensuring an effective response to his/her complaint and that he/she:

- Cooperates with the Council in seeking a solution to the complaint;
- Expresses his/her complaint in full as early as possible;
- Responds promptly to requests for information or meetings or in agreeing the details of the complaint;
- Asks the Complaints Manager for assistance as needed; and
- Treats all those involved in the complaint with respect.

Complaints Manager
Regulations require Middlesbrough Council to designate an officer, known as the Complaints Manager, to manage the Statutory Complaints Procedure for Adult Social Care Services. However, this does not mean that one person is responsible for carrying out all actions.

The Complaints Manager will:

- Have sufficient clarity of purpose and authority to enable complaints to be dealt with effectively and speedily.
- Be independent of operational line management and of direct service Providers.
- Take an active role in facilitating resolution of complaints and will identify appropriate colleagues and external people (including Investigating Officers and Advocates) to contribute to complaints work
- Foster good working relationships with key bodies and through partner agencies.
- Monitor compliance with complaints regulations and wider policies and guidelines
- Be responsible for developing complaints strategies, systems and processes, including complaints training
- Provide support to staff when investigating, or on the receiving end, of a complain
- Implement the Joint Complaints Protocol

Adjudicating Officer
Senior Managers in the Directorate have a key role within the complaints procedure as Adjudicating Officer. Their role is to:

- Consider the seriousness and complexity of individual complaints taking into account any risks, service improvements and, if appropriate disciplinary action and attribute timescales
- Appoint suitable individuals to be Investigating Officers and give indicative timescales in line with their assessment of the complaint
- Take overall responsibility for complaints made against their service area
- Consider the Investigating Officer conclusions, and recommendations, and the complainant’s desired outcomes and provide an objective adjudication
- Ensuring that agreed actions following a complaints investigation are implemented, monitored and followed-up in liaison with the Complaints Manager
Investigating Officers
The Investigating Officer will:

- Carrying out objective and thorough independent investigations
- Prepare a clear investigation report and effectively liaise with the complainant and his/her advocate, if appropriate, and the Complaints Manager
- Identify solutions and recommendations and courses of action that will resolve the issues
- Keep the Adjudicating Officer and Complaints Manager updated on progress
- Updating the complainant and the Complaints Manager on progress made, and timeframes
- Maintaining clear and confidential records, evidence and notes of all investigation work
- Alert appropriate senior managers and directors, to serious areas of concern that might arise during investigations and making recommendations as appropriate

Complaints Administrator
The Administrator is responsible for:

- Acknowledging the receipt of formal complaints within 3 days, and coordinating timeframes to ensure the final responses are sent out within agreed timescales
- Liaising with Adjudicating Officers to complete required documentation
- Providing Investigating Officers with required documents and relevant details of the complaint
- Keeping accurate records of all complaints, concerns and compliments
- Providing monthly updates to the Complaints Manager and Senior Managers including progress on action plans
- Recording data about concerns and complaints on the complaints databases
- To send reminders to Service Managers with the responsibility for action plans following the conclusion of a complaint

All Local Authority staff

- Are responsible for reporting complaints promptly and accurately
- Are required to be aware of this policy and have knowledge of how to aid someone to make a formal complaint
- Are expected to try and resolve the complaint as close to its source as possible, as soon as possible
- Are expected to cooperate fully and openly with any complaints
- Are expected to record and report any representations made to the Complaints Administrator with relevant outcomes to assist in learning and service improvements
11. GOOD PRACTICE FOR INVESTIGATING OFFICERS

General Points

Investigating Officers must:

a) Be aware of the timescale and the importance of providing a thorough investigation;

b) Work closely with the Complaints Manager (and Independent Person and Advocate where appropriate) on all aspects of the investigation and report writing, including keeping the complainant informed of progress;

c) If the media is involved (local or national press, television or radio etc.) maintain strict confidentiality and notify the Complaints Manager;

d) Consider whether it is helpful to hold any meetings away from an environment that may be causing distress;

e) If appropriate, make an unannounced visit to the establishment that is being complained about to check normal practice;

f) Investigation Officers should adhere to all relevant legislation such as Data Protection Act, Health and Safety Act and the Human Rights Act;

g) Investigating Officers should also work closely with all others involved in the investigation, especially where an Independent Person is involved.

Getting Started

Investigating Officers must contact the complainant, ideally by phone, to offer a meeting in person. This meeting should explain the investigation procedure and:

a. clarify the complaint and all its individual parts, and produce a written record of the grounds of the complaint;

b. ask what the complainant wants in terms of solution or outcome;

c. check whether the complainant needs support of any kind, in order to understand the discussion properly; and

d. determine whether the complainant needs support during the process e.g. an advocate;

e. allow the complainant to explain how he/she feels and express any strong emotions that he/she has. Accept how the complainant feels but such acceptance does not mean that the Investigating Officer agrees with the complainant.

Read the background documents on the complaint and the relevant legal and administrative policies and procedures.

Consider whether the complaint could be resolved without further investigation.
Assess whether the complaints procedure is the most appropriate way of handling this complaint. Consider alternative possible procedures, for example alternative dispute resolution such as mediation or appeals to tribunals, legal action or police involvement. If the complaints procedure is not appropriate, discuss the alternatives with the Adjudicating Officer.

Agree a summary or statement of the complaint with the complainant in writing and ask them to sign the document.

**Planning the Investigation**

The Investigating Officer must:

a. Obtain the relevant documents, such as files, log books and timesheets and insist on seeing the originals, not copies, and obtain copies of all the documents needed;
b. Produce a chronology from the relevant sequence of events from the files and identify the names of the individuals most directly involved in the content of the complaint;
c. Analyse and categorise the complaint into its different elements;
d. Identify a list of interviewees, notify them with as much notice as possible that the Investigating Officer wishes to hold interviews, and supply them with relevant information on the complaint;
e. Arrange the order of interviews in a logical sequence as relevant to the particular complaint;
f. Inform all those to be interviewed that they may be accompanied by a friend or trades union representative, provided that this person is not within normal line management arrangements with the interviewee and that there are no issues of confidentiality;
g. Consider whether a witness of a particularly difficult interview is needed – this is also a good way of training new investigating officers;
h. Prepare the line of questioning for each interviewee.

**Interviewing**

The Investigating Officer must:

a. Explain the complaint and your role clearly to the interviewee and confirm that they understand the complaints procedure and their role in it.
b. Conduct any interviews in an informal and relaxed manner, while ensuring that due process is adhered to:
   - use open not leading questions;
   - do not express opinions in words or attitude;
   - ask single not multiple questions, i.e. one question at a time.

c. Try to separate hearsay evidence from fact by asking interviewees how they know a particular fact;
d. Persist with questions if necessary. Do not be afraid to ask the same question twice. Make notes of each answer given;
e. Deal with conflicts of evidence by seeking corroborative evidence. If this is not available, discuss with the Complaints Manager the option of a meeting between the conflicting witnesses;

f. Make a formal record of the interview from the written notes as soon as possible while the memory is fresh. Show the interviewee the formal record, ask if he/she has anything to add, and ask him/her to sign the record as accurate.

g. A tape recording can be made of the meeting if all people who are present at the meeting give their consent for this.

**The Investigation Report**

The Investigating Officer must:

Draft the report and show it to the Adjudicating Officer. The Complaints Manager can advise on local practice. The draft report should include:

a. chronology;
b. list of interviewees;
c. the complaints set out in a numbered list;
d. the Investigating Officer’s analysis and findings for each point of complaint;
e. record of relevant policy, practice and legislation;
f. the Investigating Officer’s recommendations and response to the complainant’s desired outcomes;
g. any other relevant information; and
h. a separate addendum for any other issues for the local authority.

Consider comments from relevant persons such as the Complaints Manager, Adjudicating Officer and Independent Person and amend the report as necessary;

Notify the Adjudicating Officer that the final report is complete and they can advise as to how this will be released.

This annex is based on information originally published in *The Right to Complain* and compiled with the help of the Office of the Commission for Local Administration (the Local Government Ombudsman).
12. Glossary and Abbreviations

**Abuse** includes physical, sexual, emotional, psychological, financial, material, neglect, acts of omission, discriminatory and institutional abuse.

**Action Plans** list actions to be taken as the result of a complaints investigation. The plan includes timescales and the person responsible for carrying out the action.

**Association of Directors of Adult Social Services (ADASS)** is the national leadership association for directors of local authority adult social care services.

**Adjudication** is the responsibility of the Adjudicating Officer and provides a response to the complainant about outcomes and actions arising as a result of the complaint investigation.

**Adjudicating Officer** is the Head of Service responsible for deciding how complaints will be dealt with including the findings, conclusions and recommendations in the Investigating Officers report.

**Adult at risk** are people aged 18 years or over who is or may be in need of community care services by reason of mental health, age or illness, and who is or may be unable to take care of themselves, or protect themselves against significant harm or exploitation. The term replaces ‘vulnerable adult’.

**Advocacy** means taking action to help people say what they want, secure their rights, represent their interests and obtain the services they need.

**Alert** is a concern that an adult at risk is or may be a victim of abuse or neglect. An alert may be a result of a disclosure, an incident, or other signs or indicators.

**Alerter** is the person who raises a concern that an adult is being, has been, or is at risk of being abused or neglected. This could be the person themselves, a member of their family, a carer, a friend or neighbour, a member of staff or volunteer.

**Capacity** is the ability to make a decision about a particular matter at the time the decision needs to be made.

**Care management** is the process of assessment of need, planning and co-ordinating care for people with physical and/or mental impairments to meet their long-term care needs, improve their quality of life and maintain their independence for as long as possible.

**Carer** refers to unpaid carers for example, relatives or friends of the adult at risk. Paid workers, including personal assistants, whose job title may be ‘carer’, are called ‘staff’.

**Clinical Commissioning Groups** are NHS organisations set up by the Health and Social Care Act 2012 to organise the delivery of NHS services in England. They replace Primary Care Trusts.

**Clinical governance** is the framework through which the National Health Service (NHS) improves the quality of its services and ensures high standards of care.
Complaint may be generally defined as ‘an expression of dissatisfaction or disquiet about the actions, decisions or apparent failings of a local authority’s adult social services provision that requires a response’.

Complaints Administrator is the person responsible for the day to day administration of the complaints process

Complaints Manager is the officer who acts as the operational lead for the implementation of the complaints process.

Complaint Plan is the document produced by the IO clarifying elements of the complaint and agreeing actions with the complainant.

Concerns & comments are expressions of dissatisfaction or suggestions of how services are failing or could be improved.

Consent the voluntary and continuing permission of the person to the intervention based on an adequate knowledge of the purpose, nature, likely effects and risks of that intervention, including the likelihood of its success and any alternatives to it.

Contracted Service are those purchased by the Local Authority to meet the assessed needs of users and carers

Care Programme Approach (CPA) introduced in England by the DH (Department of Health) in 1990 the CPA requires health authorities, in collaboration with social services departments, to put in place specified arrangements for the care and treatment of people with mental ill health in the community.

Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) is the government department responsible for prosecuting criminal cases investigated by the police in England and Wales.

Care Quality Commission (CQC) are responsible for the registration and regulation of health and social care in England.

Care Standards Act is an Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom which provides for the administration of a variety of care institutions, including children’s homes, independent hospitals, nursing homes and residential care homes.

DH (Department of Health) is the government strategic leadership for public health, the NHS and social care in England.

DoLS (Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards) are measures to protect people who lack the mental capacity to make specific decisions at specific times. The Safeguards came into effect in April 2009 using the principles of the Mental Capacity Act (MCA) 2005, and apply to people in care homes or hospitals where they may be deprived of their liberty.

Data Protection Act 1998 makes provision for the regulation of the processing of information relating to individuals, including the obtaining, holding, use or disclosure of such information.
Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) is a government department responsible for welfare and employment issues.

Emergency Duty Officer is the social worker on duty in the emergency duty team (EDT) or out of hour’s service.

Emergency Duty Team (EDT) are a social services team that responds to out-of-hours referrals where intervention from the council is required to protect a vulnerable child or adult at risk, and where it would not be safe, appropriate or lawful to delay that intervention to the next working day.

GP (General Practitioner) is a doctor who is responsible for diagnosing and treating a variety of injuries and diseases that fall under the general practice category. General practitioners (GPs) work in primary care. They are usually commissioned by primary care organisations, such as clinical commissioning groups to deliver services.

Human Rights Act 2000 is legislation introduced into domestic law for the whole of the UK in October 2000, in order to comply with the obligations set out in European Convention of Human Rights.

Health and Social Care Act 2012 provides legislative changes to the health and care system including giving GPs and other clinicians the primary role.

IMCA (Independent Mental Capacity Advocate) were established by the Mental Capacity Act (MCA) 2005. IMCAs are mainly instructed to represent people where there is no one independent of services, such as family or friend, who is able to represent them. IMCAs are a legal safeguard for people who lack the capacity to make specific important decisions about where they live, serious medical treatment options, care reviews or adult safeguarding concerns.

Investigating Officer is the member of staff of any organisation who leads an investigation/assessment into a complaint. This is often a professional or manager in the organisation who has a duty to investigate.

Joint Complaints Protocol is a framework for dealing with complaints involving more than one of the participating agencies.

Local Authority is Middlesbrough Council.

Local Authority Social Services & National Health Service Complaints (England) Regulations 2009 is the legislation outlining what is expected from councils when running a complaints process.

Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) is an official employed by the Commission for Local Administration in England, a body of commissioners established under the Local Government Act 1974 to investigate complaints about councils and certain other bodies in England.

Mental capacity refers to whether someone has the mental capacity to make a decision or not.
Mental Capacity Act 2005 provides a statutory framework to empower and protect people aged 16 and over who lack, or may lack, capacity to make certain decisions for themselves because of illness, a learning disability, or mental health problems. The act was fully implemented in October 2007 and applies in England and Wales.

Mental Health Act 2007 amends the Mental Health Act 1983 (the 1983 Act), the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) and the Domestic Violence, Crime and Victims Act 2004. This includes changing the way the 1983 Act defines mental disorder, so that a single definition applies throughout the Act, and abolishes references to categories of disorder.

Office of the Public Guardian supports the Public Guardian in registering enduring powers of attorney, lasting powers of attorney and in supervising Court of Protection appointed deputies.

Parliamentary & Health Service Ombudsman investigates complaints that individuals have been treated unfairly or have received poor service from government departments and other public organisations and the NHS in England. They work in partnership with the LGO

Personal budget (PB) is money allocated for social care services, allocated based on the needs of the individual following an assessment. Councils or another organisation on behalf of individuals could manage them. They could also be paid as a direct payment, or a mixture of both.

Prioritising Need is a system for deciding how much support people with social care needs can expect to help them cope and keep them fit and well. Its aim is to help social care workers make fair and consistent decisions about the level of support needed, and whether the local council should pay for this.

Regulated Services are Health and social care services such as those provided in nursing homes, residential homes and children’s homes that are inspected by staff from CQC

Review is the process of re-examining a support plan and its effectiveness.

Safeguarding Adults are the term used to describe all work to help adults at risk stay safe from significant harm. It replaces ‘adult protection’.

Self funders are people who are not entitled to financial assistance from social services

Strategic Health Authority (SHA) is one branch of the National Health Service in England. In 2002, the existing NHS health authorities were renamed SHA’s and merged to form 28 new Strategic Health Authorities. The same board and governance structures apply to SHA’s as to all NHS trusts.

South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust is the hospital trust in the Tees Valley serving the people of Middlesbrough, Redcar and Cleveland, Hambleton and Richmondshire and beyond. The trust runs The James Cook University Hospital in Middlesbrough and community services in Middlesbrough and Redcar and Cleveland.

Staff are paid workers, including personal assistants, whose job title may be ‘carer’, are called ‘staff’. Volunteers are also classed as staff. See also carer.
Tees, Esk & Wear Valley Foundation Trust (TEWV) Trust provides a range of mental health, learning disability, eating disorders and substance misuse services across County Durham, the Tees Valley, Scarborough, Whitby, Ryedale, Harrogate, Hambleton and Richmondshire.

**Upheld, part upheld, not upheld** are terms used by within the complaint report about each element of a complaint.

**Unreasonably persistent complainant** is a person who pursues either reasonable complaints in an unreasonable manner; or unreasonable complaints; or unreasonable or unrealistic outcomes to a complaint.

**Vexatious Complaints** if it is considered that the sole purpose of the complaint is to cause the Council inconvenience, harassment or expense or the complaint is unreasonable or has no serious purpose or the complaint is part of an organised or concerted lobby, campaign, or protest aimed at or against the Council it is deemed vexatious.
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